KENOSHA COUNTY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RESOLUTION NO.

Subject: Resolution Accepting Monsa Fund for Improvement Proj	ento Settlement Funds, Establishing jects and Approving Expenditures
Original 🗖 Corrected 🗆 Resubmitted 🗆	2nd Correction □
Date Submitted: March 4, 2024	Date Resubmitted:
Submitted By: Jennifer J. Kopp, First Assistant Corporation Counsel	
Fiscal Note Attached 💆	Legal Note Attached \square
Prepared By: Jennifer J. Kopp, First Assistant Corporation Counsel	Signature:

- WHEREAS, a number of communities across the country filed a class action lawsuit against Monsanto Company, Solutia Inc. and Pharmacia LLC (hereinafter referred to as "Monsanto"), in the United Stated District Court of the Central District of California, City of Long Beach et. al, v. Monsanto Company, No. 2:16-CV003493 (the "Class Action Lawsuit"); and
- WHEREAS, these communities claimed the defendants produced a class of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) between 1930 and 1977 that led to environmental contamination; and
- WHEREAS, Monsanto and the litigation plaintiffs agreed to a settlement in the amount of \$550,000,000 representing four (4) different allocations of settlement dollars that vary based on the amount of damages sustained from Monsanto PCBs, with each fund having a specific formula for calculation of payments: (1) the Monitoring Fund, which intends to pay for PCB sampling and/or other mitigation efforts; (2) the TMDL (Total Maximum Daily Load) Fund, which intends to compensate plaintiffs for restitution and remediation efforts, including mitigation of contaminated property, stormwater and/or stormwater systems; (3) the Sediment Fund, which intends to compensate plaintiffs for specific sites that have sedimentary contamination from PCBs; and (4) Special Needs Funds, which are broken down for various litigation costs of the plaintiffs that initiated the lawsuit and other litigation costs (the "Settlement"); and

- WHEREAS, a number of Wisconsin counties and municipalities were not required to opt into the Class Action Lawsuit to participate in the Settlement, rather if a county or municipality met the requirements for the "class" participants, they received notice and were added to the Class Action Lawsuit unless the county or municipality specifically objected; and
- WHEREAS, Kenosha County was one of the municipalities identified in Wisconsin as a "class participant." Kenosha County received a payment from the Settlement in the amount of \$969,080 from the TMDL funds; and
- WHEREAS, the waterways identified in the Class Action Lawsuit in Kenosha County are in the Lake Michigan Basin and the Mississippi River Basin, but Kenosha County is not aware of and has not been informed of any specific PCB contamination issues in these waterways; and
- WHEREAS, the Settlement funds are intended to promote remediation efforts and maintain compliance with the TMDL, which is the calculation of the maximum amount of pollutants that an impaired waterbody can receive on a daily basis and still meet water quality standards, but the receiving municipalities in the Class Action Lawsuit have discretion in how to use these funds for these broadly stated purposes; and
- WHEREAS, the Kenosha County Administration (the "Administration") and the Public Works Department (the "Department") believe that remediation and mitigation efforts may be necessary in the future to meet and maintain water quality standards, address contamination issues and other environmental effects from the PCBs; and
- WHEREAS, the Administration and the Department propose using the funds to address, monitor and mitigate, among other things, current and future environmental hazards caused by PCBs in Kenosha County. The Administration and the Department would also like to comply with daily TMDL limits, monitor and address contamination, stabilization and erosion in waterways and lakes and obtain cleanup and remediation for contaminated sites through the County as they become known. In addition, the Administration and the Department desire to support projects that improve water quality going into Kenosha County waterways and lakes through groundwater, surface water and/or base flow. There may be other specific environmental or stormwater quality projects, including but not limited to those set forth on Exhibit A; and
- WHEREAS, the Administration and the Department have identified three specific projects involving contamination issues, which it may

use the funds for in 2024, including demolition costs for Hillside Hardware, environmental studies and demolition costs for Roosevelt Auto Body and dealing with the Poerio Park Area (the "orange ooze"). The estimated costs associated with these projects are \$431,300. A breakdown of the projects and anticipated costs associated with these projects are set forth on Exhibit B. Kenosha County has already spent considerable time and resources exploring remediation and use for these projects, including discussions with the City of Kenosha on partnering to address these site problems. Remediation and use of these sites will enhance quality of life for Kenosha County citizens; and

- WHEREAS, certain expenditures in remediation are required by the Wisconsin DNR (WIDNR), such as Phase I or Phase II environmental testing and efficiency is heightened when these tests are done promptly and in conjunction with partners such as the WIDNR and other municipalities; and
- WHEREAS, the Administration recommends placing the Settlement Funds in a separate, interest bearing account with the interest generated being kept separate from the general fund for use on separate environmental or contamination issues.
- NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Kenosha County Board of Supervisors hereby accepts the Settlement Funds in the amount of \$969,080 and approves placement of these funds in a separate, interest bearing account with the principal and any future interest being kept separate from the general fund; and
- BE IT FUTHER RESOLVED, that the Kenosha County Board of Supervisors authorizes the Administration to use a portion of the principal up to the amount of approximately \$431,300 to fund expenditures related to Hillside Hardware, Roosevelt Auto Body and Poerio Park Area as set forth on Exhibit B and approve the budget modification as detailed in the attached budget modification form; and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that any future projects similar to what is set forth on Exhibit A, pertaining to the use of the principal or interest generated by the Settlement Funds will be addressed in future budgets or come to the Kenosha County Board by way of separate resolution; and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the Kenosha County Board of Supervisors, that the County Executive and the Director of Public Works are authorized to execute any contracts, agreements or other documents necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution.

Dated at Kenosha County, Wisconsin, th	is	day o	f, 2024.
Submitted By:			
Finance/Administration Committee	<u>Aye</u>	No	Abstain
Terry Rose, Chairman		(, 	
Dave Geertsen	5 <u> </u>	-	·
John Poole			:
Erin Decker		=	
Tim Stocker			-
TIM Stocker			
John Franco	-	====	A
Bill Grady	-		
Public Works/Facilities Committee	<u>Aye</u>	<u>No</u>	Abstain
Mark Nordigan, Chairman		s 8	
Zach Stock	H	 ,	:==-,
Aaron Karow		·	
Laura Belsky		÷	-
John O'Day			
Brian Thomas	_	-	_

EXHIBIT A

Environmental Purposes

- Phase I and Phase II reports
- Site Investigation and Remediation Options Reports/Investigation
- Site Remediation including disposal or soil/insitu treatment costs
- Demolition and asbestos abatement if needed to reach contaminated sources
- Remediation efforts as needed to meet DNR/EPA regulations to get an impacted site to site closure

Stormwater Quality Purposes

- Inspecting outfalls that appear to have contamination issues, tracking any contaminated outfalls to find source and implementing any remediation efforts to reduce/eliminate the contamination source
- Implementing green infrastructure projects to reduce TMDL or TSS loads
- Create, modify, improve or upgrade any stormwater quality basins to increase their TMDL and TSS removal/reduction rates
- Infrastructure improvements needed to meet DNR/EPA regulations in regards to Stormwater quality control
- Streambank and shoreline improvement projects to aid in the protection of property
- Increasing plantings that can aid in mitigating environmental pollution caused by PCBs

Exhibit B

Proposed Use of Monsanto Funds 2024

Location/Description		Estimated Cost
Hillside Hardware / 4614 52nd Street		
Phase I ESA		4,255.20
Phase II ESA - range \$12,000 - \$15,000		15,000.00
Demo		110,000.00
Roosevelt Auto Body/ 2907 W 63rd Street		
Phase I ESA		5,000.00
Phase II ESA - range \$12,000 - \$15,000		15,000.00
Demo		160,000.00
"Orange Ooze"/ 17th Court to Birch Road		
Phase I ESA		22,000.00
Phase II ESA - range \$50,000 - \$100,000		100,000.00
	Total	431,255.20

KENOSHA COUNTY EXPENSE/REVENUE BUDGET MODIFICATION FORM

G/L DATE

DOCUMENT #

DEFI/DIVISION:	Dept. Of Pr	Dept. Of Public Works						BATCH#		ENTRY DATE	
PURPOSE OF BUDGET MODIFICATION (REQUIRED):	MODIFICATI	ION (REQU	TRED):								
(1) ACCOUNT			[62		BUDGET CHANG	UDGET CHANGE REQUESTED (3) (4)	(5)	(9)	(£)	AFTER TRANSFER	SFER
DESCRIPTION EXPENSES	FUND	DIVISION	SUB	MAIN	EXPENSE INCREASE (+)	EXPENSE DECREASE (-)	ADOPTED BUDGET	CURRENT	ACTUAL	REVISED RUDGET	EXPENSE RAI AVAII
Other Professional Services	140	100	1010	521900			=			431,300	431,300
										00 00 00 00 00 00 P	* * * * * * *
			EXPENSE TOTALS	OTALS	431,300.00		c	ė	ř	431,300.00	431,300,00
REVENUES	FUND	DIVISION	SUB DIVISION	MAIN ACCOUNT	REVENUE DECREASE (+)	REVENUE INCREASE (-)	ADOPTED BUDGET	CURRENT BUDGET		REVISED BUDGET	
				-126-53 U. Sergio Coloco Coloco (1980)						40 H (00 20 K) (00	
			REVENUE TOTALS	OTALS	***	·	r			r	
COLUMN TOTALS (EXP TOTAL + REV TOTAL)	P TOTAL +	REV TOTA	AL)	/ =	431,300.00	Ī		Please fill in all columns:	mns:		
SEE BACK OF FORM FOR REQUIRED LEVELS OF APPROVAL FOR BUDGET MODIFICATION	R REQUIRE	D LEVELS	OF APPROV	AL FOR BUD	GET MODIFICATI	NO.		(1) & (2) Account minimum as required (3) & (4) Budget change requested (5) Original budget as adopted by the boxe	inormation as requir	na	
PREPARED BY:	Chris Walton	u	FINANCE	FINANCE DIRECTOR:		Date	1	(5) Original budget as anopied by the board (6) Current budget (original budget w/past mods.)	is adopted by line be original budget w/p:	oaru ast mods.)	
DIVISION HEAD:			(required) Date	(p:	ı			(7) Actual expenses to date (8) Budget after requested modifications	to date sested modifications	S	
DEPARTMENT HEAD:			Date			COUNTY EXECUTIVE;	TVE:	(9) Balance available after transfer (col 8 - col 7). Date	after transfer (col	8 - col 7).	